Inorganic Chemistry

Mild Solvothermal Syntheses and Characterization of Layered Copper Thioantimonates(III) and Thioarsenate(III)

Chi Zhang,[†] Min Ji,[†] Shou-Hua Ji,[‡] and Yong-Lin An*^{,†}

[†]School of Chemistry and [‡]Testing Center of Material, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, People's Republic of China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The new copper thioantimonates(III) and thioarsenate(III) Rb₂Cu₂Sb₂S₅ (1), Cs₂Cu₂Sb₂S₅ (2), and Rb₈Cu₆As₈S₁₉ (3) have been synthesized solvothermally. Compound 1 contains a [Cu₂SbS₃] net to which [Sb₂S₄] chains adhere through Cu–S bonds and Sb–Cu interactions to give a layered structure. In compound 2, the layer is formed by edge-sharing tetrahedral CuS₄ chains linked by dimeric [Sb₂S₅] units. The layered structure in compound 3 is constructed by helical chains built from [Cu₃S₇] moieties and dimeric [As₂S₅] units which are further connected by tetrameric [As₄S₉] groups. Their optical and thermal properties have been investigated.



INTRODUCTION

During the past few decades, substantial syntheses of microporous chalcogenides have been conducted due to their complex architectures and potentialities in technical applications.¹ The synthetic research on thioantimonate(III) and thioarsenate(III) frameworks has been extensive because the stereochemical effect of lone electron pairs and the various coordinations of Sb^{III} and As^{III} by sulfur atoms can give rise to large structural and compositional diversities.² Recently, considerable attention have been paid to the incorporation of transition-metal ions into the group 15 sulfides to search for novel chalcogenide materials.³

Among the transition-metal ions, Ag^+ , Cu^+ , and Hg^{2+} appear to be more readily to form part of a network of group 15 sulfides. For example, a series of mercury thioantimonates(III) $[1,2-dapH][HgSbS_3]$ (dap = diaminopropane),^{4a} $[(Me)_2NH_2]_2[HgSb_8S_{14}]$,^{4a} and $[Ni(en)_3]_{0.5}[HgSbS_3]^{4a}$ and mercury thioarsenates(III) such as $[(Me)_4N][HgAs_3S_6]^{4b}$ and $[(Ph)_4P]_2[Hg_2As_4S_9]^{4b}$ have been reported.⁴ Some silver/ copper group 15 sulfides, e.g. $[C_2N_2H_9][Ag_2SbS_3]$,^{5b} $[C_2N_2H_9]_2[Ag_5Sb_3S_8]$,^{5b,c} have also been synthesized in the presence of organic cations or transition-metal complexes as the templates.⁵ Representative examples of copper-containing compounds are $[C_4N_2H_{12}]_{0.5}[CuSb_6S_{10}]$,^{6a} RCu₂SbS₃ (R= a m i n e) ^{6 b} a n d $[C_4N_3H_{14}][Cu_3Sb_2S_5]$, ^{6 b} $[C_6N_4H_{20}]_{0.5}[Cu_3Sb_2S_5]$,^{6b} $[Ni(dap)_2][Cu_4Sb_2S_6]$ and [Ni- $(dien)_2][CuSb_3S_6]$ (dien = diethylenetriamine),^{6c} [enH]- $[Cu_3As_2S_5]$,^{6d} and $[Ni(dap)_3]_4[Cu_2As_{10}^{II,III}S_{18}]$.^{6e}

In contrast, quaternary transition-metal group 15 sulfides that contain alkali-metal ions are still uncommon. Most of them have been prepared at high temperature or/and high pressure.⁷ For example, several quaternary sulfides such as Na_2CuSbS_3 ,^{7g} KCu_2AsS_3 , and $KCu_4AsS_4^{-7e}$ were obtained via supercritical

methods. Our previous research has shown that $CsCu_2AsS_3^{\ 8}$ and $KCu_2AsS_3^{\ 7e}$ have different layered structures, although the stoichiometries of the anionic layers are the same in both compounds. The structural difference may result from the structure-directing agents or synthetic conditions. $CsCu_2AsS_3^{\ 8}$ was synthesized under mild conditions, while a potassium analogue was obtained in a supercritical ethylenediamine system. Therefore, there is a great possibility to access new quaternary sulfides under mild synthetic conditions. Although we have successfully synthesized the group 15 sulfides $A_3Ag_9Sb_4S_{12}$ (A = K, Rb, Cs),⁹ CsM₂AsS₃ (M = Ag, Cu),^{8,9} KCu₄AsS₄,⁸ etc. under mild conditions using thiophenol as a mineralizer, limited research on syntheses of sulfides has been conducted due to the toxic nature of thiophenol.

Recently, we found that a large excess of sulfur could decrease synthetic temperature of several thioargentates effectively.¹⁰ In an attempt to further this strategy, $Rb_2Cu_2Sb_2S_5$ (1), $Cs_2Cu_2Sb_2S_5$ (2), and $Rb_8Cu_6As_8S_{19}$ (3) were obtained under mild solvothermal conditions.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All reagents were commercially available and used as received. A Quanta 450 instrument was used for EDS analyses. A D/MAX-2400 instrument with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) was used for PXRD measurements at 40 kV and 100 mA. A JASCO V-570 UV–vis–NIR instrument was used to record UV–vis diffuse-reflectance spectra. A Metter Toledo Star instrument was used to study thermal behaviors (TGA and DSC) under a N₂ atmosphere (40 mL min⁻¹) with a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹.

Syntheses. Syntheses of title compounds were carried out through similar procedures. The reactants were sealed in a thick glass tube in

Received: November 18, 2013 Published: May 7, 2014 air (ca. 10% filling), and then the tube was placed in an autoclave which was heated to 160 $^{\circ}$ C (145 $^{\circ}$ C for 3) for 5 days prior to cooling to room temperature naturally. The products were washed several times with ethanol and deionized water and dried under ambient conditions.

 $Rb_2Cu_2Sb_2S_5$ (1) was synthesized from 5 mg of Cu powder, 10 mg of Sb powder, 12 mg of S powder, 12 mg of Rb_2CO_3 , 300 mg of 1,2diaminopropane, and 90 mg of methanol aqueous solution (50 wt %). The Cu/Sb/S/Rb₂CO₃ molar ratio is 0.08/0.082/0.375/0.052. Red rodlike crystals were obtained in 36% yield (based on copper).

 $Cs_2Cu_2Sb_2S_5$ (2) was synthesized from 5 mg of Cu powder, 10 mg of Sb powder, 16 mg of S powder, 10 mg of Cs_2CO_3 , 300 mg of 1,2diaminopropane, and 60 mg of H₂O. The Cu/Sb/S/Cs₂CO₃ molar ratio is 0.08/0.082/0.5/0.031. Red rodlike crystals were obtained in 32% (yield based on copper).

 $Rb_8Cu_6As_8S_{19}$ (3) was synthesized from 4 mg of Cu powder, 15 mg of As_2S_3 , 10 mg of S powder, 12 mg of Rb_2CO_3 , 400 mg of 1,2-diaminopropane, and 110 mg of methanol aqueous solution (50 wt %). The Cu/As_2S_3/S/Rb_2CO_3 molar ratio is 0.063/0.061/0.312/0.052. Orange needlelike crystals were obtained in 56% yield (based on copper).

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction. A Bruker Smart APEX II diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) was used for data collections at 296 K. The structures of the title compounds were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and refined against F^2 using SHELXL-97.¹¹ Crystal data and structure refinement details for 1–3 are given in Table 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

 $Rb_2Cu_2Sb_2S_5$ (1) has a layered structure, in which the layers are separated by charge-balancing Rb^+ cations. Each layer consists of two parts: a $[Sb_2S_4]$ chain and a $[Cu_2SbS_3]$ net. The chain is

Table 1. Crystal Data and Structure Refinement Details

	1	2	3
empirical formula	Rb ₂ Cu ₂ Sb ₂ S ₅	Cs ₂ Cu ₂ Sb ₂ S ₅	Rb ₈ Cu ₆ As ₈ S ₁₉
fw	701.82	796.7	2273.50
temp/K	296(2)	296(2)	296(2)
cryst syst	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
space group	$P2_{1}/c$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1$
Flack factor			0.013(14)
a/Å	16.5448(12)	7.4013(2)	11.876(3)
b/Å	10.3545(7)	8.5533(2)	9.1984(19)
c/Å	6.6128(4)	9.8390(2)	19.792(5)
$\alpha/{ m deg}$		91.9590(1)	
β/\deg	99.952(4)	92.2180(1)	95.985(17)
γ/deg		101.8830(1)	
$V/Å^3$	1115.81(13)	608.49(3)	2150.3(9)
Z	4	2	2
calcd density/Mg m ⁻³	4.178	4.348	3.511
abs coeff/mm ⁻¹	18.089	14.534	18.951
F(000)	1256	700	2076
$2\theta(\max)/\deg$	50.00	50.00	50.00
index range	$-19 \leq h \leq 19$	$-8 \le h \le 8$	$-14 \leq h \leq 14$
	$-12 \le k \le 12$	$-9 \le k \le 10$	$-10 \le k \le 9$
	$-7 \leq l \leq 7$	$-11 \leq l \leq 11$	$-23 \leq l \leq 23$
no. of collected/unique rflns (R(int))	5690/1942 (0.1384)	5690/2133 (0.0400)	10869/6779 (0.0557)
no. of data/restraints/ params	1942/0/101	2133/0/101	6779/1/370
GOF on F^2	1.102	1.086	0.991
final <i>R</i> indices $(I > 2\sigma(I))$	R1 = 0.0637	R1 = 0.0266	R1 = 0.0541
	wR2 = 0.1773	wR2 = 0.0672	wR2 = 0.1079
$\Delta ho_{ m max} \; \Delta ho_{ m min}/{ m e} \; { m \AA}^{-3}$	2.092, -3.136	1.346, -1.207	2.263, -1.237

composed of trigonal-pyramidal $Sb(2)S_3$ units linked by vertex sharing (Figure 1, top). The $[Cu_2SbS_3]$ net is constructed by tetrahedral $Cu(1)S_4$ units and pyramidal $Cu(2)S_3$ units. These units share vertices to form a two-dimensional crownlike structure, while the Sb(1) ions are located in the chambers through the Sb(1)–S bond (Figure 1, bottom).

In tetrahedral Cu(1)S₄ units, the Cu(1)-S distances range from 2.3422(4) to 2.4397(4) Å with the S–Cu(1)–S angles ranging from 96.781(2) to 114.910(6) °. However, in the pyramidal Cu(2)S₃ units, the Cu(2)–S distances are between 2.2805(4) and 2.3450(5) Å with the S–Cu(2)–S angles ranging from 111.626(1) to 121.396(1)°. There are two kinds of pyramidal SbS₃ units in compound **1**. The main difference concerns the coordination environment of S atoms: each S atom of the Sb(1)S₃ units in the net adopts a μ_3 -pyramidal geometry to bond one Sb(1) and two Cu atoms; each S atom of the Sb(2)S₃ units in the chain adopts a μ_2 -V shaped mode to link two Sb(2) or one Sb(2) and one Cu(1). The Sb(1)–S and Sb(2)–S distances range from 2.4024(4) to 2.4720(4) Å and from 2.3740(4) to 2.5050(4) Å, respectively.

An anionic layer is formed by interconnection of $[Sb_2S_4]$ chains and the $[Cu_2SbS_3]$ net through the coordination of S(3) to Cu(1) and Sb(2)–Cu(2) interactions (Figure 2). The lone electron pair of Sb(2) always points toward Cu(2), and the separation between Cu(2) and Sb(2) is 2.8130(2) Å, which is close to that in A₂CuSbS₃ (A = Na, K) (2.808/2.8554 Å).^{7g,h}

In compound 1, Rb^+ cations are located between adjacent anionic layers. Rb(1) adopts a distorted -pentagonal-bipyramidal coordination mode by S atoms, and the average Rb(1)– S distance is 3.409 Å. Rb(2) is eight-coordinated by S atoms with an averaged Rb(2)–S distance of 3.473 Å.

 $Cs_2Cu_2Sb_2S_5$ (2) is isomorphic with $Cs_2Cu_2Sb_2Se_{5^{\prime}}^{12}$ which is constructed by edge-sharing tetrahedral CuS_4 chains with bridging dimeric $[Sb_2S_5]$ moieties. Although the layer in compound 2 has the same stoichiometry as in compound 1, their crystal structures are different.

In a dimeric $[Sb_2S_5]$ moiety, each Sb is coordinated pyramidally by S with Sb–S ranging from 2.3736(2) to 2.5142(2) Å. The dimeric $[Sb_2S_5]$ moieties link adjacent tetrahedral CuS₄ chains through vertex sharing (Figure 3).

In each tetrahedral CuS₄ chain, the Cu–S distances range from 2.3259(2) to 2.4624(2) Å and from 2.277(2) to 2.639(2) Å, respectively, with the S–Cu–S angles between 99.15(5) and 126.68(7)°. [Cu₂Sb₂S₅]^{2–} anionic layers are separated by the cationic double layers formed by Cs⁺ and stack along the *b* axis. Each Cs⁺ is eight-coordinated by S atoms with Cs–S distances ranging from 3.4324(2) to 3.9386(1) Å.

Bensch et al. have solvothermally synthesized the series of copper thioantimonates(III) $[H_2R]_{0.5}[Cu_2SbS_3]$ with the same layered structure by using a series of organic diamines.^{6b} The results indicate that amines have a weak structure-directing effect, which is also proved by our experimental results. During the syntheses of compounds 1 and 2 in the diaminopropane system, amine-templated copper thioantimonates(III) were never obtained. The structural difference between 1 and 2 indicates the relatively strong structure-directing effect of alkalimetal ions, considering the interaction between cationic templates and anionic layers.

Our attempt to prepare sodium and potassium analogues in a similar fashion was not successful. An amorphous phase was always obtained when sodium was used as the structuredirecting agent; in the case of potassium, the product is KSbS₂. However, the layered compounds A_2CuSbS_3 (A = Na, K) have



Figure 1. (top) Chain formed by vertex-sharing $Sb(2)S_3$ units. (bottom) [Cu₂SbS₃] net constructed by tetrahedral Cu(1)S₄, pyramidal Cu(2)S₃, and Sb(1)S₃ units.



Figure 2. $[Cu_2Sb_2S_5]^{2-}$ layer formed by linkage of infinite $[Sb_2S_4]$ chains and a $[Cu_2SbS_3]$ net.



Figure 3. $[Cu_2Sb_2S_5]^{2-}$ anionic layer in 2.

been synthesized by supercritical or flux methods.^{7g,h} These results reveal that alkali-metal ions not only can be used as structure-directing agents but also play a crucial role in the crystallization of sulfides.

We explored the solvothermal reaction system A–Cu–As–S (A = alkali metal) in a similar way and obtained the layered compounds $K_2Cu_2As_2S_5$ and $Rb_8Cu_6As_8S_{19}$ (3). $K_2Cu_2As_2S_5$ is isomorphic with compound 1.¹³ It is perhaps still impossible to put forward a clear mechanism for the self-assembly of simple building units into complex structures, but there is no doubt

that structure-directing agents control the organization processes. In addition, a particular network may be generated by using different structure-directing agents, as mentioned above for thioantimonates. Alternatively, a given template can be applied to access different structure types. This observation is provided by the varying structures of $K_2Cu_2As_2S_5$,¹³ KCu_2AsS_3 ,^{7e} and KCu_4AsS_4 ,⁸ all of which are directed by the K⁺ cation.

The versatile template effect of alkali-metal cations is also reflected in the fact that $Rb_8Cu_6As_8S_{19}$ (3) has a novel layered structure. The anionic layer in $Rb_8Cu_6As_8S_{19}$ (3) consists of helical chains formed by edge sharing of the $[Cu_3S_7]$ moieties and dimeric $[As_2S_5]$ units. The helical chains run along the 2₁ screw axis parallel to the *b* axis, which are strictly alternating and are further connected by tetrameric $[As_4S_9]$ groups (Figures 4 and 5).



Figure 4. Helical chains running along the 2_1 screw axis parallel to the *b* axis in **3**.

Each Cu atom is in a pyramidal coordination environment with the Cu–S distances between 2.288(5) and 2.368(6) Å (S–Cu–S angles range from 101.26(2) to 124.52(2)°). Each As atom bonds to three S atoms in a pyramidal mode, and the bond distances and angles are close to the reported values.^{7e,8} As in the compound $K_2Cu_2As_2S_5$, there are also Cu–As interactions with distances of 2.414(3)–2.703(3) Å in compound **3**.



Figure 5. Linkage of building units to form a $[Cu_6As_8S_{19}]^{8-}$ anionic layer in 3.

The Rb^+ cations lie between the anionic layers. Rb(3) and Rb(8) are eight-coordinated by S atoms with an average Rb-S distance of 3.576 Å. The rest of the Rb^+ cations are seven-coordinated by S atoms, forming a distorted-capped-octahedral coordination with an average Rb-S distance of 3.500 Å.

Optical Properties and Thermal Analyses. Powder samples were used to study UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra. The absorption spectra were calculated by the Kubelka–Munk function from the reflectance spectra. From the absorption spectra of 1 and 2, band gaps of 1.6 eV are estimated (Figure 6). A band gap of 1.8 eV is found for 3 (Figure 7). The results confirmed that these compounds are semiconductors.



Figure 6. UV-vis spectra of compounds 1 and 2.

The thermal properties were investigated by TG-DSC. The TG results show that all of the compounds have no weight loss. The DSC curve of compound 1 displays an endothermic peak and exothermic peaks at 350 and 450 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. For compounds 2 and 3, endothermic phase transitions occur at 383 and 172 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively.

CONCLUSION

Three copper thioantimonates(III) and thioarsenate(III) have been obtained under mild solvothermal conditions. Their different layered structures indicate that alkali-metal cations are a type of efficient structure-directing agent; therefore, it is anticipated that new copper group 15 sulfides can be obtained through an appropriate choice of alkali-metal cations. This mild





solvothermal route offers a new possibility to access new quaternary sulfides containing copper.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Figures, tables, and CIF files giving packing diagrams, EDS data, PXRD patterns, DSC curves, selected bond lengths and angles, and crystallographic data for 1-3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail for Y.-L.A.: ylan@dlut.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support from the NNSF of China (21171028) is acknowledged.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Sheldrick, W. S.; Wachhold, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 176, 211–322.
 (b) Li, H.; Laine, A.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. Science 1999, 283, 1145–1147.
 (c) Zheng, N.; Bu, X.; Feng, P. Nature 2003, 426, 428–432.
 (d) Ding, N.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 187–191.
 (e) Wang, K.-Y.; Feng, M.-L.; Li, J.-R.; Huang, X.-Y. J. Mater. Chem. A 2013, 1, 1709–1715.
 (f) Bera, T. K.; Jang, J. I.; Ketterson, J. B.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Chem. Mater. 2013, 26, 849–869.

(2) (a) Kromm, A.; Almsick, T.; Sheldrick, W. S. Z. Naturforsch.
2010, 65b, 918–936. (b) Parise, J. B. Science 1991, 251, 293–294.
(c) Drake, G. W.; Kolis, J. W. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1994, 137, 131–178.
(d) Seidlhofer, B.; Pienack, N.; Bensch, W. Z. Naturforsch., B 2010, 65b, 937–975.

(3) (a) Chou, J.-H.; Hanko, J. A.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Inorg. Chem.
1997, 36, 4–9. (b) Tang, C.; Wang, F.; Jiang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Jia, D.
Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 10860–10868. (c) Fu, M.-L.; Guo-Cong; Cai, L.-Z.; Zhang-Jing; Huang, J.-S. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 44, 184–186. (d) Fu, M.-L.; Guo; Liu, X.; Chen, W.-T.; Liu, B.; Huang, J.-S. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 5793–5798. (e) Wang, X.; Sheng, T.-L.; Hu, S.-M.; Fu, R.-B.; Chen, J.-S.; Wu, X.-T. J. Solid State Chem. 2009, 182, 913–919. (f) Du, K.-Z.; Feng, M.-L.; Qi, X.-H.; Ma, Z.-J.; Li, L.-H.; Li, J.-R.; Du, C.-F.; Zou, G.-D.; Huang, X.-Y. Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 2733–2736. (g) Kiebach, R.; Bensch, W.; Hoffmann, R. D.; Poettgen, R. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2003, 629, 532–538. (h) Schaefer, M.; Staehler, R.; Kiebach, W. R.; Naether, C.; Bensch, W. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 2004, 630, 1816–1822. (i) Stephan, H.-O.; Kanatzidis, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12226–12227. (j) Schaefer, M.; Näther, C.; Bensch,

Article

Inorganic Chemistry

W. Solid State Sci. 2003, 5, 1135–1139. (k) Lin, Z.; Bu, X.; Feng, P. *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* 2010, 132, 328–334. (l) Liu, Y.; Kanhere, P. D.; Ling Wong, C.; Tian, Y.; Feng, Y.; Boey, F.; Wu, T.; Chen, H.; White, T. J.; Chen, Z. J. Solid State Chem. 2010, 183, 2644–2649. (m) Liu, Y.; Tian, Y.; Wei, F.; Ping, M. S. C.; Huang, C.; Boey, F.; Kloc, C.; Chen, L.; Wu, T.; Zhang, Q. Inorg. Chem. Commun. 2011, 14, 884–888.

(4) (a) Chou, J.-H.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Chem. Mater. 1995, 7, 5–8.
(b) Kong, D.-N.; Xie, Z.-L.; Feng, M.-L.; Ye, D.; Du, K.-Z.; Li, J.-R.; Huang, X.-Y. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 10, 1364–1372. (c) Yue, C.-Y.; Lei, X.-W.; Liu, R.-Q.; Zhang, H.-P.; Zhai, X.-R.; Li, W.-P.; Zhou, M.; Zhao, Z.-F.; Ma, Y.-X.; Yang, Y.-D. Cryst. Growth Des. 2014, DOI: 10.1021/cg500153u.

(5) (a) Schimek, G. L.; Kolis, J. W.; Long, G. J. Chem. Mater. **1997**, *9*, 2776–2785. (b) Vaqueiro, P.; Chippindale, A. M.; Cowley, A. R.; Powell, A. V. Inorg. Chem. **2003**, *42*, 7846–7851. (c) Spetzler, V.; Näther, C.; Bensch, W. J. Solid State Chem. **2006**, *179*, 3541–3549.

(6) (a) Powell, A. V.; Paniagua, R.; Vaqueiro, P.; Chippindale, A. M. Chem. Mater. 2002, 14, 1220–1224. (b) Spetzler, V.; Näther, C.; Bensch, W. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 5805–5812. (c) Zhang, M.; Sheng, T.; Wang, X.; Hu, S.; Fu, R.; Chen, J.; He, Y.; Qin, Z.; Shen, C.; Wu, X. CrystEngComm 2010, 12, 73–76. (d) Xiong, W.-W.; Athresh, E. U.; Ng, Y. T.; Ding, J.; Wu, T.; Zhang, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1256–1259. (e) Liu, X.; Zhou, J.; An, L.; Bian, G.-Q.; Zhao, R.; Mo, Z. Dalton Trans. 2014, 43, 3055–3058.

(7) (a) Kanatzidis, M. G.; Chou, J.-H. J. Solid State Chem. **1996**, 127, 186–201. (b) Iyer, R. G.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Inorg. Chem. **2004**, 43, 3656–3662. (c) Bera, T. K.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Inorg. Chem. **2008**, 47, 7068–7070. (d) Bera, T. K.; Kanatzidis, M. G. Inorg. Chem. **2012**, 51, 4293–4299. (e) Jerome, J. E.; Wood, P. T.; Pennington, W. T.; Kolis, J. W. Inorg. Chem. **1994**, 33, 1733–1734. (f) Wood, P. T.; Schimek, G. L.; Kolis, J. W. Chem. Mater. **1996**, 8, 721–726. (g) Jerome, J.; Schimek, G.; Drake, G.; Kolis, J. Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. **1996**, 33, 765–782. (h) Deng, B.; Chan, G. H.; Ellis, D. E.; Van Duyne, R. P.; Ibers, J. A. J. Solid State Chem. **2005**, 178, 3169–3175.

(8) Yao, H.-G.; Ji, M.; Ji, S.-H.; An, Y.-L. J. Solid State Chem. 2013, 198, 289–294.

(9) Yao, H.-G.; Ji, M.; Ji, S.-H.; Zhang, R.-C.; An, Y.-L.; Ning, G.-l. Cryst. Growth Des. 2009, 9, 3821–3824.

(10) Zhang, C.; Wang, K.-N.; Ji, M.; An, Y.-L. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 12367–12371.

(11) Sheldrick, G. SHELXL97 and SHELXS97; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

(12) Chen, Z.; Wang, R.-J.; Dilks, K. J.; Li, J. J. Solid State Chem. 1999, 147, 132–139.

(13) Zhang, C.; An, Y. Unpublished results.